

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/820,661	Applicant(s) TALIB ET AL.	
	Examiner Susan Y Chen	Art Unit 2171	

All Participants:

(1) Susan Y Chen.

(2) Jason A. Bernstein.

Date of Interview: March 22-25

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) Kathy Bell.

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1-45

Prior art documents discussed:
AAPA

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The amendment filed on 01/06/2004 does not correspond to the specification of the instant application because it appears that the applicant mistyped the patent application Number, which results in the instant amendment being held as non-responsive.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


SAFET METJAHIC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)